

Colusa County Pesticide Enforcement Program Statistics

	2008	2009	2010
Operator identification numbers issued/ amended ¹	23	31	26
Restricted material permits issued/ amended ¹	858	879	747
Permits denied ¹	1	8	20
Multi-year permits ¹	0	0	0
Total permitted sites ^{1, 2}	14,050	14,232	7,504
Notices of intent (NOIs) reviewed ¹	1,954	3,402	3,774
NOIs assessed (Pre-application site inspections) ¹	130	206	185
NOIs denied ¹	0	10	0
Pounds of pesticide applied (active ingredient) ³	2,076,110	2,412,124	2,069,615
Number of agricultural applications ³	27,745	28,699	28,698
Number of non-agricultural applications ^{3,4}	23,446	34,604	26,638
Number of all inspections ¹	289	364	353
Unclaimed Gas Tax Distribution ^{5,6}	\$31,902	\$70,483	\$58,214
Pesticide Mill Assessment ⁵	\$210,962	\$249,783	\$220,465

Footnotes

¹ Source: Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report (PRAMR)

² Some counties did not report any permitted sites.

³ Source: Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Report Database


⁴ Analysis of data indicates some problems with reporting and accurately recording these figures.

⁵ Funding is on a fiscal year basis and is based on the prior year's workload.


⁶ Funding is on a fiscal year basis. This amount represents 36 percent of the full amount distributed by CDFA for all 10 agricultural programs (FAC 2282) and pesticide use enforcement. The 36 percent represents the typical amount dedicated to pesticide use enforcement.

Colusa County Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Calendar Year Summary

**Percentage of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category
During Calendar Years 2008, 2009, and 2010**



**Number of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category
For Calendar Years 2008, 2009, and 2010**



Colusa County Inspection Compliance

	Calendar Year 2008							Calendar Year 2009							Calendar Year 2010						
	Criteria Compliance							Criteria Compliance							Criteria Compliance						
Inspection Type	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	Inspections with 100% Compliance
Agricultural																					
Field Worker Safety	6	34		34	100.0%		100.0%	8	53		53	100.0%		100.0%	5	32		32	100.0%		100.0%
Pesticide Use - Application	68	1,176	5	1,181	99.6%	3	95.6%	51	917	3	920	99.7%	2	96.1%	41	666	3	669	99.6%	2	95.1%
Pesticide Use - Mix Load	50	1,024	6	1,030	99.4%	4	92.0%	40	841		841	100.0%		100.0%	30	578	4	582	99.3%	1	96.7%
Commodity Fume	2	38		38	100.0%		100.0%	0							2	46		46	100.0%		100.0%
Field Fumigation	0							0							1	34		34	100.0%		100.0%
Records	23	341		341	100.0%		100.0%	26	382		382	100.0%		100.0%	21	344		344	100.0%		100.0%
Totals:	149	2,613	11	2,624	99.6%	7	95.3%	125	2,193	3	2,196	99.9%	2	98.4%	100	1,700	7	1,707	99.6%	3	97.0%
Structural																					
Fumigation	0							0							0						
Non-Fumigation Application	0							1	17		17	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Non-Fumigation Mix Load	0							0							0						
Records	1	5		5	100.0%		100.0%	0							0						
Totals:	1	5		5	100.0%		100.0%	1	17		17	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Overall Totals:	150	2,618	11	2,629	99.6%	7	95.3%	126	2,210	3	2,213	99.9%	2	98.4%	100	1,700	7	1,707	99.6%	3	97.0%

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Prepared 7/22/2011

Colusa County Closed Compliance Actions and Closed Enforcement Actions

	2008		2009		2010	
	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers
VIII. Compliance Actions						
A. Warning Letters / Violation Notices		3		0		0
B. Cease and Desist Orders		0		0		0
C. Documented Compliance Interviews		0		0		0
Total:	11	3	0	0	0	0
IX. Enforcement Actions						
A. Administrative Action:						
1. Restricted Materials Permit		0		1		1
2. Private Applicator Certifications		0		0		0
3. County Registrations		0		0		0
4. Structural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
5. Agricultural Civil Penalties		12		4		4
B. Judicial Actions:						
1. Notice to Appear (Citations)		0		0		0
2. Cases Submitted to DA		0		0		0
3. Civil Complaints Filed		0		0		0
4. Criminal Complaints Filed		0		0		0
C. Referrals to DPR		0		0		0
Total:	28	12	8	5	8	5

Note: Compliance and Enforcement actions are not necessarily taken in the same fiscal year when the violation occurs. Additionally, some actions may be due to discovery of violations by means other than inspections such as through investigations.

Most Common Agricultural Inspection Violations

Elements Evaluated	2008 Criteria Evaluated			2009 Criteria Evaluated			2010 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2008 - 2010 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
Emergency Medical Care, Posting	98	2	100	64	0	64	56	2	58	218	4	222	98.20
Handler Decontamination Facilities	188	0	188	146	1	147	96	3	99	430	4	434	99.08
Service Container Labeling	21	3	24	20	0	20	10	0	10	51	3	54	94.44
Closed System Used / Criteria - "Danger"	46	2	48	34	0	34	5	0	5	85	2	87	97.70
Supervision Standards - Certified Applicator	97	2	99	77	0	77	38	0	38	212	2	214	99.07
Follows Labeling and/or Permit Conditions	351	0	351	270	1	271	212	1	213	833	2	835	99.76
Labeling Available at Use Site	116	2	118	91	0	91	74	0	74	281	2	283	99.29
Regulations - Personal Protective Equipment	120	0	120	86	0	86	64	1	65	270	1	271	99.63
Notice of Intent Submitted	91	0	91	73	1	74	30	0	30	194	1	195	99.49

Most Common Structural Inspection Violations

Elements Evaluated	2008 Criteria Evaluated			2009 Criteria Evaluated			2010 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2008 - 2010 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
There were no structural inspection violations reported in this county between 2008 and 2010.													

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Values for some criteria can not be compared year to year due to revisions to the criteria and conversion to a new inspection program in 2010.